DOCUMENTATION RATE OF LEFT VENTRICULAR EJECTION FRACTION IN A CARDIOVASCULAR REGISTRY AND IN ELECTRONIC HEALTHCARE RECORD (EHR) SYSTEMS

Connolly, T¹, Wilk, A², Nguyen N²

BACKGROUND + INTRODUCTION

Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) is an important indicator of heart function in at-risk cardiac patients. Measurement of LVEF may be used to optimize medication treatment for patients with coronary artery disease (CAD), hypertension, and atrial fibrillation. Many performance measures have been endorsed and adopted by medical organizations, payor groups and government agencies^{1, 2, 3} as the gold standard for quality healthcare and for provider reimbursement purposes (see Examples A and B). In this study, documentation of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) in an ambulatory cardiovascular registry was compared with LVEF available in structured fields of three general-purpose electronic health records systems.

Example A | Outpatient Atrial Fibrillation/Flutter Measures Requiring LVEF

2016 ACC/AHA Clinical Performance and Quality Measures for Adults With Atrial Fibrillation or Atrial Flutter²

SHORT TITLE: QM-11 Beta Blocker Prescribed (When LVEF ≤40)

Measure description: Percentage measurement period.	of patients, age ≥18 y, with a diagnosis of AF or atrial flutter and with an LVEF ≤40 who were prescribed a beta blocker during the		
Numerator	Patents with a diagnosis of AF or atrial flutter and with an LVEF ≍40 for whom a beta blocker was prescribed* during the *Prescribed*-Also satisfield by documentation in current medication list All patients with AF or atrial flutter and with an LVEF ≤40 P atrients gape -18 y • Patients gape -18 y • Patients support of a medical reason for not prescribing a beta blocker • Documentation of a medical reason for not prescribing a beta blocker • Datent gament genomedia ender the set of t		
Denominator			
Denominator exclusions			
Denominator exceptions			
Measurement period	Reporting year		
Sources of data	Medical record or other database (e.g., administrative, clinical, registry)		
Attribution	Measure reportable at the facility or provider level		
Care setting	Outpatient		
	Rationale		
AF increases the risk of strok Rate control in AF is an important Multiple agents, including bet been evaluated with regard to hemodynamic status, presenc In general, beta blockers are the r comorbidities must be unders	In, or permanent, and whether symptomatic or silent, significantly increases the risk of thomobentholic lockemic stroke. Non-Nucli, 5 times, and AF in the setting of mitral strokes increases the track of stroke 20 times over that of patients in sinus hyphm. strategy, it impacts quality of like, reduces motholdy, and decreases the potential for developing tachycardia-induced archiopyopath a blockers and nondyclopyidine is calculated increases (stroke potential for developing tachycardia-induced archiopyopath a blockers and nondyclopyidine is calculated to the stroke stroke stroke stroke stroke stroke stroke stroke stroke on a blocker stroke stroke or a abasec of IrF, and potential precipitants of AF. Specifications and the stroke		

permention of USPROV. LOUGS & Lotter of Entenders of ACC Indicates American College of Canadology, AF, atrial fabrillation; AHA, American Heart Association; HF, heart failure; HBS, Heart Rhythm Society, LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; and QM, quality messure.

= Example B | Outpatient CAD Measures requiring LVEF

ACCF/AHA/AMA–PCPI 2011 Performance Measures for Adults With Coronary Artery Disease and Hypertension³



PERFORMANCE MEASURE 7: Beta-blocker Therapy: Prior MI or left ventricular systolic dysfunction

Percentage of patient aged >=18 with a diagnosis of coronary artery disease seen within a 12-month period who also have prior myocardial infarction or a current or prior LVEF < 40% who were prescribed beta-blocker therapy



PERFORMANCE MEASURE 8: ACE inhibitor/ARB therapy: Diabetes or left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVED <40%)

Percentage of patients aged >= 18 years with a diagnosis of coronary artery disease seen within a 12-month period who also have diabetes or a current or prior LVEF < 40% who were prescribed ACE-inhibitor or ARB therapy

METHODS

- Documentation of LVEF was assessed in the outpatient cardiovascular PINNACLE Registry®. Data for the registry are extracted from structured fields in participating practice's EHR systems. LVEF was also assessed for structured fields sourced from three general-purpose ambulatory EHRs comprising Veradigm's Health Insights database.
- Eligible patients included those patients with a history of CAD, hypertension and atrial fibrillation with at least one outpatient encounter in the time period from April 1, 2014 to March 31, 2019.
- Performance measures were evaluated for the capture and use of LVEF value in the measures (e.g. <40%)

Veradigm[®], ¹Washington, DC and ²Raleigh, NC, USA

RESULTS

In the registry data LVEF was documented for 42.8% of patients. In the ambulatory EHRs, documentation ranged from <1% to 11.3% (Table 1).

Table 1 | Documentation of LVEF Completion

(
	ASSESSMENT TYPE	N SIZE	LVEF CAPTURED	PERCENTAGE	
	PINNACLE Registry®	8,012,174	3,425,596	42.8%	
	EHR 1	1,261,13	7142,408	11.3%	
	EHR 2	1,800,394	59,843	3.3%	
	EHR 3	871,806	1,109	<1%	

DISCUSSION

- The cardiovascular registry has a quality focus with a number of known performance measures that are regularly evaluated. This may have led to higher documentation of LVEF.
- Among the general purpose EHRs representing half primary and half specialty care – only a small portion of the latter are cardiologists – it is not surprising that documentation of LVEF was lower.
- In both cases, lack of specific documentation of LVEF in structured EHR fields does not necessarily mean that LVEF is not available at the practice level. It may be that LVEF is available as unstructured text in the source systems and/or as a document stored elsewhere containing echocardiogram results.

CONCLUSION

- LVEF is a valuable indicator of cardiovascular function for patients with CAD, hypertension or atrial fibrillation. Documentation of LVEF was higher in the cardiovascular registry than in the general purpose, ambulatory EHRs.
- Documentation of LVEF in structured EHR fields would make it more readily available for use in patient care as well as for use in quality measures. More complete readily available documentation may lead to better quality of care.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bonow RO, Ganiats TG., et al. ACCF/AHA/AMA-PCPI 2011 Performance Measures for Adults With Heart Failure J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012 May, 59 (20) 1812-1832. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.03.013
- Heidenreich PA, Solis, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA Clinical Performance and Quality Measures for Adults With Atrial Fibrillation or Atrial Flutter J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016 Aug, 68 (5) 525-568. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016.03.521</u>
- Drozda J, Messer, J, et al. ACCF/AHA/AMA–PCPI 2011 Performance Measures for Adults With Coronary Artery Disease and Hypertension J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011 Jul, 58 (3) 316-336. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2011.05.002</u>